

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA Division Director

May 5, 2008

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7005 2570 0000 4801 5228

Jeffery Sagars Southwest Stone 2421 West 350 North Hurricane, Utah 84737

Subject: Proposed Assessment for State (MC-2008-01-01), Southwest Stone, Limestone

Mesa/Desert, M/053/059, Washington County, Utah

Dear Mr. Sagars:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R647-7.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced cessation order Division Inspector, Lynn Kunzler, issued the cessation order on April 10, 2008. Rule R647-7-103 et. Seq. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty for the violations as follows:

> Violation 1 of 1 \$4950.00

Each worksheet specifically outlines how the violations were assessed.

By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this (NOV / Cessation Order) has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of this penalty.

Under R647-7-106, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

If you wish to informally appeal the fact of the (Cessation Order / NOV), you 1. should file a written request for an Informal Conference within thirty 30 days of receipt of this letter. The Division Director or Associate Director will conduct this conference. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty. If you wish to review the proposed

penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph 1, the assessment conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of the cessation order will stand, the proposed penalty (ies) will become final, and the penalty (ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the date of this proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vicki Bailey.

Sincerely,

Thomas Munson

Assessment Officer

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING Minerals Regulatory Program

COM	IPANY	/ MIN	E Southwest Stone	PERMIT	PERMIT <u>M/053/059</u>			
NOV	7 / CO #	MC-	<u>2008-01-01</u>		ON <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>			
ASSI	ESSME	NT DA	ATE <u>May 2,2008</u>					
ASSI	ESSME:	NT OF	FFICER Tom Munso	<u>on</u>				
I.	HIST	HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.11)						
	A.		there previous violativears of today's date?	ions, which are not pending or	vacated, which fall three			
	PREV	VIOUS	VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS (1pt for NOV 5pts for CO)			
MC		MC-04	-02-01	June 1, 2005	5			
II.	SERI	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS 5 SERIOUSNESS (Max 45pts) (R647-7-103.2.12)						
II.	SERIOUSNESS (Max 45pts) (R647-7-103.2.12)							
	NOTE:		For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply:					
				oplied by the inspector, the Aseach category where the violate				
		2.		nid-point of the category, the appears or down, utilizing the inspecting documents.				
	Is this an EVENT (A) or A (assign points according to			Administrative (B) violation? o A or B)	EVENT			
	A.	EVE	NT VIOLATION (M	Max 45 pts.)				
		1	What is the event	which the violated standard w	vas designed to prevent?			

	2.	What is the probability of the occurrence of the event, which a violated standard was designed to prevent?		
		PROBABILITY None Unlikely Likely Occurred	RANGE 0 1-9 10-19 20	
		ASSIGN PROBABILITY O	F OCCURRENCE PO	INTS
PROVIDE already occ ***	AN EX	KPLANATION OF POINTS: In	espector indicated the o	disturbance has
	3.	What is the extent of actual or	potential damage?	RANGE 0-25
		In assigning points, consider the impact, in terms of area and in		
		impact, in terms of area and in	ipact on the public of en	
		PLANATION OF POINTS: O	ASSIGN DAMAGE PO	3 years ago for
operating o expanding	outside disturb at of up		ASSIGN DAMAGE PO perator cited less than I 1-1/2 years ago of nee ercent of permitted are	3 years ago for ed to permit before
operating of expanding to mid point ***	outside disturb at of up	PLANATION OF POINTS: Opermitted area, and was warned ed areas. 6 acres is nearly 50 per half of range.	ASSIGN DAMAGE POperator cited less than 1-1/2 years ago of need are ercent of permitted are (Max 25pts)	3 years ago for ed to permit before a – assigned point cement?
operating of expanding to mid point ***	outside disturb at of up <u>ADN</u>	PLANATION OF POINTS: Opermitted area, and was warned ed areas. 6 acres is nearly 50 per half of range. MINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS	ASSIGN DAMAGE PO perator cited less than I 1-1/2 years ago of nee ercent of permitted are (Max 25pts) UAL hindrance to enfor	3 years ago for ed to permit before a – assigned point cement?
operating of expanding to mid point ***	outside disturb at of up <u>ADN</u>	PLANATION OF POINTS: Opermitted area, and was warned ed areas. 6 acres is nearly 50 per half of range. MINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS (Is this a POTENTIAL or ACT Assign points based on the extended potentially hindered by the vio	ASSIGN DAMAGE PO perator cited less than I 1-1/2 years ago of nee ercent of permitted are (Max 25pts) UAL hindrance to enfor	3 years ago for ed to permit before a – assigned point cement? RANGE 0-2 at is actually or
operating of expanding to mid poin *** B.	outside disturb it of up ADN	PLANATION OF POINTS: Opermitted area, and was warned ed areas. 6 acres is nearly 50 per half of range. MINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS (Is this a POTENTIAL or ACT Assign points based on the extended potentially hindered by the vio	perator cited less than 11-1/2 years ago of need are excent of permitted are (Max 25pts) UAL hindrance to enforcement to which enforcement lation.	3 years ago for ed to permit before a – assigned point cement? RANGE 0-2 at is actually or

III. <u>DEGREE OF FAULT</u> (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.13)

A. Was this an inadvertent violation, which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, the failure to abate any violation due to the same or was economic gain realized by the permittee? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence 0
Negligence 1-15
Greater Degree of Fault 16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Greater Degree of Fault

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 23

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: Assigned points in mid range – considering the operator had received a previous violation of the same nature and had been given warnings regarding expanding without the necessary approvals.

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14)

(Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

• Immediate Compliance -11 to -20*
(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

• Rapid Compliance -1 to -10

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

Normal Compliance 0
 (Operator complied within the abatement period required)
 (Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

^{*}Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

Rapid Compliance

- Rapid Compliance -11 to -20*
 (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- Normal Compliance -1 to -10*

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

Extended Compliance 0

(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete) (Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OI	R DIFFICULT	ABATEMENT?	
---------	-------------	------------	--

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS	0
--------------------------	---

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: Until violation is abated, good faith points cannot be awarded.

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)

NOT	ICE OF VIOLATION # <u>MC-2008-0</u>	1-01
I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	5
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	39
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	23
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	0
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	67
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$_4,950